

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4009 OF 2004

Rajendra Panditrao Jagtap ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. Naveen Chomal, for the Applicant.

Mr. S.R. Shinde, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

21st September 2004

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. It is not in dispute that the main accused Ramchandra, who is alleged to have forged the signature on the general power of attorney has been released on anticipatory bail by the same Court on 30th January 2004 for the reasons recorded in the said order. The Applicant herein is only an attesting witness to the disputed document. Taking totality of the circumstances into account, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail on same

conditions on which co-accused Ramchandra Sitaram Jadhav has been released on anticipatory bail. The application is allowed on the following terms.

a) The Applicant is released on anticipatory bail in connection with C.R. registered with Gangapur Police Station on executing personal bond in the amount of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

b) The Applicant shall comply with all the conditions contained in section 438(2), Cr.P.C., as applicable to the State of Maharashtra, namely :

i) that the Applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against him so as to dissuade

him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the Applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

. Application disposed of accordingly.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.)